**Annex III – Summary data relevant for CBCA requests [[1]](#footnote-2) - Electricity**

The Agency recommends the filling of this template for each CBCA request (in English).

Part I: the project promoters and the consulted TSOs

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Promoter | Country | Address and contact details |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

*Note: insert all project promoters*

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Consulted TSO | Country | Date of submission of all CBA data and results | Date of feedback and reasons for disagreement (if any) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

*Note: insert all TSOs with significant net positive impact.*

Part II: the concerned NRAs

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| NRA | Country | Reasons for being concerned(hosting the project / having significant positive net benefit) |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

*Note: fill NRAs of countries of PCI promoters first and then other concerned countries, if any.*

Part III: the detailed implementation plan for the project

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Project stage | (expected) start date | (expected) end date |
| Consideration phase |  |  |
| Planning approval |  |  |
| Preliminary design studies |  |  |
| Preliminary investment decision |  |  |
| Permitting |  |  |
| Financing and CBCA |  |  |
| Final investment decision |  |  |
| Detailed design |  |  |
| Tendering |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |
| Commissioning |  |  |

Part IV: the project-specific cost benefit analysis

Part IV.1 – money, currency and discounting method

The discounting method is in line with the ACER Recommendation on the treatment of cross-border cost allocation requests submitted in the framework of the first Union list of electricity and gas projects of common interest. The monetary values are expressed in Euro, referred to the present (year 2013).

(If applicable) the following assumptions about exchange rates are used:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Country | Currency | Assumption on exchange rate vs. Euro |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Part IV.2 - cost - expected figures

*Note: please provide single values here, expressed in Million Euro, year 2013.*

*Please fill IV.3 below for ranges and variations*

Country: *add name (duplicate and fill as many tables as needed)*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | Net present value of costs [MEuro] |
| Cost component  | Before commissioning | After commissioning |
| Materials and assembly costs |  |  |
| Temporary solutions |  |  |
| Environmental costs |  |  |
| Consenting/social costs |  |  |
| Replacement of devices |  |  |
| Dismantling |  |  |
| Maintenance and other life-cycle |  |  |
| Total |  |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Yearly disaggregation of costs before commissioning | Year:  | Year:  | Year:  | Year:  | Year:  |
| Total [MEuro] |  |  |  |  |  |

Part IV.3 - cost - expected variations

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Country  | NPVs of costs [MEuro] | Downward variation[%] | Upward variation[%] | Reason(s) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Part IV.4 - benefit - expected figures

*Note: please provide single values here, expressed in Million Euro, year 2013.*

*Please fill IV.5 below for ranges and variations*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Congestion revenue at relevant border | Congestion revenue sharing | Net present variation of congestion revenue [MEuro] |
| Border:  | *please specify X:Y* |  |
| Border: |  |  |
| Border:  |  |  |
| Border:  |  |  |

Country: *add name (duplicate and fill as many tables as needed)*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | Net present value of benefits [MEuro] |
| Benefit component  | Producer surplus | Consumer surplus |
| SEW (EU-wide market study) |  |  |
| National constraints (SEW local study) |  |  |
| Variation of generation curtailments |  |
| Variation in losses |  |
| Security of supply (load) |  |
| Other benefits  |  |
| Total |  |

The other benefits indicated (if applicable) correspond to these benefit components in the ACER Position on the ENTSO-E “Guideline to Cost Benefit Analysis of Grid Development Projects”:

The value of losses (if applicable) is:

The value of lost load (if applicable) is:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Benefits year 2020 [MEuro/year] | Benefits year 2030 [MEuro/year] |
| Benefit component  | Producer surplus | Consumer surplus | Producer surplus | Consumer surplus |
| SEW (EU-wide) |  |  |  |  |
| National constraints |  |  |  |  |
| G curtailments |  |  |
| Variation in losses |  |  |
| Security of supply  |  |  |
| Other benefits  |  |  |

*Note: fill non-discounted figures in Euro 2013.*

Part IV.5 - benefits - expected variations

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Country | NPVs of benefits [MEuro] | Downward variation[%] | Upward variation[%] | Reason(s) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

*Note: add lines of additional countries involved.*

Part V: ITC revenues and other charges

The monetary values of the ITC revenues and other charges are expressed in Euro, referred to the present (year 2013).

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Country | ITC impact in year 2020[MEuro/year] |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

*Note: add as many rows as needed*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Description of other charge | Country | Net present amount[MEuro] |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

*Note: add as many rows as needed, please add yearly amounts in case of significant differences over the time horizon under analysis.*

Part VI: the expected financing solution

Country/promoter: *add name* *(duplicate and fill as many tables as needed)*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Type | Amount[MEuro] | Remarks  |
| Debt |  |  |
| Equity |  |  |
| Expected national grant  |  |  |
| Expected European grant |  |  |

Part VII: accompanying documents

|  |
| --- |
| *(please include number, scope and title )* |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |

*Note: add as many rows as needed.*

1. Wherever possible, please provide numerical information in spread sheet format. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)